

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.			Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov	
	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		
09/884,848	06/19/2001	Terry A. Bennett	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
22045 759 BROOKS & K	02/23/2003	- Gray At. Definient	GLT 1773 PUS (P-1815)	2476
1000 TOWN CENTER 22ND FL SOUTHFIELD, MI 48075			EXAMINER	
			VINCENT, SEAN E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
1 Office Actions Occasions	09/884,848	BENNETT, TERRY A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sean E Vincent	1731				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 December 2002</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 June 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/884,848

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Woodward et al (US 5735922) in view of Nedelec (FR 2221409)
- 4. Woodward et al teaches methods and apparatus for forming and quenching glass sheets including a furnace and a conveyor heating glass sheets, a roll bending station for pre-bending the glass sheets which includes a roll conveyor and a pair of sets of adjustable, driven bending rolls, a press bending station with upper and lower actuators adapted to be used individually or together and a quench station (see figures and col. 7, line 21 to col. 15, line 43). Woodward et al does not teach that the roll bending station is in the exit end of the furnace. Nedelec teaches similar roll bending rolls located in a heating furnace (see English translation and the figures). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

Art Unit: 1731

made to locate the roll bending means of Woodward et al inside the furnace of Woodward et al because Nedelec taught that its arrangement was helpful in bending larger sheets of glass.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed December 17, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. In response to the argument that the bending rollers of Woodward et al were supported and driven in a manner that would not be operable within the heated environment of the furnace, the examiner disagrees. The applicant notes that several of the structures of Woodward et al would not function in the heated environment of the furnace, but fails to explain why.

 Woodward et al did not specify materials used or disclose the thermal limits of the structures. It is the position of the examiner that the bending rollers of Woodward et al would have been operable within the furnace and Nedelec provides the motivation to move the rolls into the furnace.
- 7. The applicant has not disputed the examiner's finding of a motivation to combine

 Nedelec with Woodward et al. This is tantamount to acquiescence with the examiner's statement

 of the motivation to combine the references.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/884,848

Art Unit: 1731

9. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean E Vincent whose telephone number is 703-305-3607. The examiner can normally be reached on M F (8:30 6:00) Second Monday Off.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.
- 12. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Sean E Vincent Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

S Vincent February 20, 2003 Page 4